

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,177	09/17/2003	Terry Zouboulakis	1761-004P/FS3	3955
22831	7590 03/22/2005		EXAM	INER
	ZER CORNMAN GRO	LOFDAHL, JORDAN M		
	ON AVENUE - 19th FLO ., NY 10017	OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3644	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	7			
 ♥	10/664,17	77	ZOUBOULAKIS, TERRY	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Jordan Lo	ofdahi	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no even ply within the state d will apply and wi te, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be to story minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ication to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 9-15</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from co	nsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 9-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	/or alaction r	oguiromont					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election is	equiternent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		-					
Applicant may not request that any, objection to the		-	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	= , ,	•	• •			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	=xaminer. No	ote the attached Offic	e Action of form P1O-15.	۷.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer							
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		• •	10.11.11				
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	=		/ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	, ,,	red				
		ca copios not recen					
			•				
Attachment(s)	£						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-,	6) Other:	(
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summa	ry F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	50311			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that the adaptor of Fernandez does not permit interchangability between barrels and markers, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The device of Fernandez discloses all the structural limitations as claimed and the functional language of the claims are not given patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 6, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3644

Claims 3, 6, 12 and 13 recite "wherein said one or more muzzle extensions are of various lengths". The phrase is indefinite for a paintball marker having one muzzle extension. A marker with one muzzle extension can only have one length and not various lengths.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4, 6 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fernandez (66668815).

As to claim 1, Fernandez discloses an adaptor (60) having a body with a hollow cylindrical tube and having two threaded ends; a marker end and a barrel end

Art Unit: 3644

connection; and the adaptor having an internal diameter equal to the internal diameter of a universal barrel; a tubular insert (90) which extends the entire length of the barrel and adaptor; the insert comprising a hollow tube able to be inserted in the barrel.

As to claims 3, 6 and 13, disclosed is a barrel (30); an adaptor (60); a muzzle (40); and an insert (90). The examiner reads the limitation of "one or more muzzle extensions" as only one muzzle extension with a single length.

As to claims 2, 4, 9, 14 and 15, disclosed is an inner diameter to at least about 0.695 inches (col. 4, lines 58-61).

As to claims 10 and 11, the method steps of the instant claim are readily apparent during the operation of the device of Fernandez.

As to claim 12, disclosed is a barrel (30), an adaptor (60) with a marker end that is capable of having different connection types; a muzzle (40) and a tubular sleeve (90).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 6 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,177 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan Lofdahl whose telephone number is 703.605.1217. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703.305.7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,177

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

iml

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6